

**PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP**

<b>Interests Met Well in Scenario 1</b>	
<b>Improved Visitor Experience</b>	More options than now.
	Social trails meet a need-concerned about.
	Rerouting extra trails improves visitor experience.
	Redevelopment of trails at Wonderland Lake. Especially access to nature.
	Stacked loops in BVR open to bikes that will be realigned will be more fun.
	Appropriate temporal restrictions on some bike access.
<b>Improved Connectivity</b>	Like North Rim alignment.
	Our group agrees that there should be a connection between Lefthand and Joder.
	No Foothills Trail to Joder.
<b>Conservation of Resources</b>	Area between Fourmile and Joder- no trail here protects high conservation area.
	Great to revise spaghetti trails on BVR for sustainability and resources.
	No trail connection to Joder west of 36.
	Joder connection on east side is good.
	No trail west of 36 in high conservation value area.
	Keep dogs, bikes, horses out of West Beech to preserve wildlife.
<b>Balance of Recreation and Resource Conservation</b>	Staff has built in balance fairly well, but would prefer more recreation.
	All plans seem to have a good level of conservation.
	Likes keeping in/out trail at Joder because it preserves habitat that is unique to OSMP system.
<b>Improved Access and Accessibility</b>	Lefthand Trail access to Neva Road trail open to Voice and Sight dogs saves commute for N Gunbarrel residents.
	Joder connection on west side good for access.
	West side needed for better access-east side is flat.
	Good for access to Wonderland Lake.
	Like two loops on Joder and Hogback because it looks right.
<b>Decreased Visitor Conflict</b>	Need strict stay on trail rules creates more visitor conflict on Lefthand and Eagle trails.
<b>Effective Planning</b>	Maintain natural resources protection as per

## PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Met Well in Scenario 1	
Process and Plan Implementation	sideboards.
	Trails in HCAs must be peripheral.
	Good job by staff.

During community members' discussion of Scenario 1 the following interests were not discussed:

- Increased Safety
- Honoring Community Values and Commitments
- Increased Education and Understanding

PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Met Well in Scenario 2	
<b>Improved Visitor Experience</b>	Dirt connection from town to Joder west of 36.
	BVR trailhead moved west improves visitor experience because parking lot can be bigger-shorter drive-less traffic.
	Very good, but with corresponding impacts on resources.
	Improved regional trail connectivity west of 36.
	Likes the new trailhead at BVR- will eliminate dust and noise on road.
<b>Improved Connectivity</b>	Joder connection west of 36 would be good for “experienced” bikers and equestrians.
	Beech-Joder connection.
	Connection from Wonderland Lake to Joder opens property to public.
	Good with Foothills Trail to Joder.
	Connector west of 36 is great because it reduces climb up to Joder.
<b>Conservation of Resources</b>	Only one trail on Joder.
	Like this Joder scenario because less encroachment into habitat.
<b>Balance of Recreation and Resource Conservation</b>	BVR/West HCA trade-off is apples and oranges.
	All plans seem to have a good level of conservation.
<b>Improved Access and Accessibility</b>	Better for quality biking, running, etc along Foothills.
	Eagle Trail moving is good for equestrians.
	Longhorn Trailhead is ok.
	Diversity of experiences for equestrians recognizes historic use.
	Good for access to Wonderland Lake.
<b>Increased Safety</b>	West parking at Joder is safer.
<b>Honoring Community Values and Commitments</b>	Fulfills commitment to establish interim trail at Joder.
<b>Effective Planning Process and Plan</b>	Good job by staff.

## PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Met Well in Scenario 2	
Implementation	

During community members' discussion of Scenario 2 the following interests were not discussed:

- Decreased Visitor Conflict
- Increased Education and Understanding

PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Met Well in Scenario 3	
Improved Visitor Experience	Joder loops improve visitor (biker) experience in this area.
	Loop trails at Joder-stacked loops give more trails in smaller area.
	Stacked loops at Joder more trails/higher development level in BVR.
	Likes steep section on Hidden Valley Trail because it is a good test for keeping fit.
Improved Connectivity	West Kiln connector good.
	No Foothills Trail to Joder.
	Like the changes to Eagle because it connects to the north.
	Likes the no west connection.
Conservation of Resources	No trail connection to Joder west of 36.
	No fragmenting of HCA west of 36.
	Like Hidden Valley Trail changes-better preserve wetlands and reduces steep rocky trail section.
	Loops on Joder should be limited in number and be placed to preserve drainage with springs and shrub nesting habitat.
Balance of Recreation and Resource Conservation	All plans seem to have a good level of conservation.
Improved Access and Accessibility	BVR trailhead moved to Foothills trailhead improves access and experience.
	Parking at Schooley good except for visitors crossing.
	Mesa Trail familiar and good for horses.
	Eagle Trail and parking at Foothills all the way to Joder underpass at Schooley.
	Good for access to Wonderland Lake.
	Like the parking lot at the new Foothills trailhead because it's bigger, reduces dust, better access.
	Lefthand trailhead is a good idea.
	Wonderland Lake changes very popular, better connections, increases options, and better disperses people.
	Like Wonderland Lake changes.
Effective Planning	Good job by staff.

## PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Met Well in Scenario 3	
Process and Plan Implementation	

During community members' discussion of Scenario 3 the following interests were not discussed:

- Increased Safety
- Honoring Community Values and Commitments
- Increased Education and Understanding
- Decreased Visitor Conflict

**PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP**

<b>Interests Met Well in Scenario 4</b>	
<b>Improved Visitor Experience</b>	Joder connector west improves visitor experience.
	Great, but impacts grassland and wildlife.
	Like Axelson and can live with seasonal closures.
	One Joder loop is good.
	Direct connector on west side most enjoyable.
	Loop trail at Joder and connector trail west of 36.
	Like the upgrades/changes to the trails at BVR.
	Reroutes at BVR are more user friendly-good.
<b>Improved Connectivity</b>	Joder connection west of 36 for “experienced” bikers and equestrians.
	Beech-Joder connector.
	Scenario 4 is best for connectivity west of 36 to Joder and BVR to Boulder Reservoir.
	Best for our connectivity desires-group all likes Foothills Trail to Joder.
	Good with Foothills Trail connection to Joder.
	Like regional trail connector and bike loop into Joder.
	Like the regional connector and loop at Joder-should be open to all visitors at all times.
<b>Balance of Recreation and Resource Conservation</b>	Joder loop trail-good balance between access and habitat preservation.
	All plans seem to have a good level of conservation.
	Like the single loop at Joder should be just hiking for less impact than road.
<b>Improved Access and Accessibility</b>	Parking at Cox easier for visitors, but impacts larger area with cars.
	Multiple loops are ok.
	Like Joder loop trail.
	Single loop on Joder better than multiple.
	Best for our access desires.
	Good for access to Wonderland Lake.
	Like the trailhead at Foothills.
	Best Joder option.
	Changes at BVR look good and leave room for improvement.
	Wonderland Lake is good-nice loops.
	Like parking at the interim Joder Trailhead.
<b>Increased Safety</b>	West side connector doesn’t require crossing 36.

## PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

<b>Interests Met Well in Scenario 4</b>	
<b>Decreased Visitor Conflict</b>	No dogs on Joder connector.
<b>Effective Planning Process and Plan Implementation</b>	Good job by staff.

During community members' discussion of Scenario 4 the following interests were not discussed:

- Conservation of Resources
- Honoring Community Values and Commitments
- Increased Education and Understanding